

**Attachment D**  
**Ordinance O-2019-27**

Micromobility  
September 9, 2019  
Narrative Public Comments

**Summary of Positive Comments** (58 respondents)

One respondent stated dockless mobility would be great for big events when parking may be an issue, such as the Festival Italiano, and one believes they can drive more local business with the ease of access to transit. Some provided personal experiences highlighting the economic benefits as people have better access to various businesses. Further, some businesses use the charging programs as an opportunity to bring in additional cash. Another respondent said they would like to see dockless vehicles present in the Green Mountain area, especially due to RTD's route changes that would stop circulation in the area. Multiple respondents agree that dockless mobility would be highly utilized in various areas throughout the City including Belmar and Colorado Mills, but also state they would be great to see at libraries, light rail stations, grocery stores, strip malls such as Applewood, and parks including Crown Hill.

Many respondents stated they could see themselves regularly using dockless vehicles in lieu of their automobile and believe these mobility options are vital for reducing air pollution and acting on climate change. One respondent stated the consequences of climate change and the potential to maximize progress and reduce traffic congestion far outweigh the minor nuisances of dockless mobility. While some state they understand new innovations have rocky roads and will receive pushback, they believe dockless mobility will aid in creating a strong community with less congestion and better air quality. Even though Denver has some conflicts between pedestrians and dockless users, the less dense fabric of Lakewood would result in fewer problems on sidewalks and some commercial areas. Due to the low use of sidewalks throughout the City, some state dockless mobility would be safest on sidewalks and that dockless vehicles would make a better use of the currently vacant sidewalks. Further, one respondent highlighted the amount of space we utilize to provide car parking and that dockless vehicle parking will easily find space throughout the City in various locations.

Some respondents brought to light the potential safety risks associated with dockless mobility, but highlight the number of automobile crashes that result in death each year that do not result in restrictions on driving. Others talk about the general health benefits associated with active transportation and hope the introduction of dockless mobility will lead towards investing in safe, separated infrastructure for bicycling, walking, and other clean forms of transportation as people opt for fewer vehicle trips.

Several respondents state they would use dockless vehicles to connect themselves to transit and believe this would be the primary use, especially electric vehicles that would provide a viable commuting option to speed up without being sweaty upon arrival at their destination. One respondent highlighted how dockless vehicles are a great option for those without a car and are far cheaper than using a ride share service. While multiple respondents were older and stated they would never use a dockless vehicle, they believe it is a great idea as long as pedestrians are given the right of way.

Finally, many respondents were thankful the City is bringing this topic to lights and are excited and hopeful that these mobility options make their way into the community because it is a fun and healthy way to get around. As our roads become more congested, respondents state people have to start embracing alternative forms of transportation and keep up with new technology or be left behind.

Summary of Negative Comments (52 respondents)

Many respondents have negative perceptions of dockless mobility, specifically towards scooters, due to personal experiences in Denver and others described by the media. One respondent does not see the purpose as people can walk to their destination. Many find scooters to be a frustration for pedestrians and people in wheelchairs, and an impediment for bicyclists. Others feel they are eyesores and create a messy image of the City. One respondent is concerned the mode of transportation lacks reliability.

Many are concerned about safety and obeying laws. One respondent discussed an injury they experienced on an e-bike while another stated scooters are a danger in car traffic and on sidewalks. Multiple people highlight the lack of dockless mobility users in Denver that obey riding and parking laws. One respondent stated people currently commuting by bicycle do not follow laws and ride on sidewalks next to bike lanes. There is a concern over where dockless mobility vehicles would be operated with multiple respondents stating sidewalks and park paths are already too congested and have many conflicts that would only be intensified by the addition of dockless mobility. Further, some are concerned about liability and believe people would sue the City for introducing dockless mobility vehicles.

Multiple respondents stated Lakewood is too dispersed to create a viable program, while others state auto traffic is too busy to trust dockless mobility vehicles riding along the street. One stated the City is spoiling Lakewood traffic and this would only magnify traffic issues while another believes this program is a way for the City to avoid improving ridership and options for existing transportation. A few respondents state the City should make RTD more affordable and have transit work together to make commuting affordable and convenient and that electric scooters are not a cost-effective way to offer transportation in Lakewood. Some believe the City would be better served by providing better bike lanes before investing on something our current infrastructure cannot support.

Finally, many are concerned Lakewood is trying to be more like Denver, which they want to avoid. A few stated the dockless mobility vehicles are a noise contributor and one respondent is concerned dockless providers will sell customer data information to boost profits.

### Summary of Mixed Comments (68 respondents)

Multiple respondents stated the only concern they have is over where vehicles will be parked when users finish their ride, some suggesting the use of designated drop off locations with others suggesting notices be displayed on vehicles such as “Be respectful and park these in places off the beaten path”. One respondent suggested having an up-front rental fee that’s only refunded when a vehicle is re-rented or it is confirmed the vehicle has parked properly. A few people stated they see dockless mobility being successful in areas like Belmar, Fed Center, Colfax, and apartments near light rail, but believe Lakewood is too dispersed otherwise. Some state they are excited for dockless vehicles, but feel current regulations don’t allow for an appropriate location for scooter use, therefore creating a hazard. To ensure safety, some state there should be an educational component; something similar to a driving test before people are allowed to use any electric vehicle, while others stated helmets should be strongly encouraged. One respondent stated we should limit the number of vehicles available while another stated there should be a minimum speed for vehicles riding in the roadway.

A couple respondents were excited about dockless mobility, but believe their personal distance from goods and services would prevent their use. One respondent said residents should own and operate their own dockless mobility vehicles and stated trails are already unsafe with heavy use. While most said they don’t believe dockless vehicles should be operated on the sidewalk, they feel comfortable with them being used in bike lanes. Many are concerned with enforcement and feel regulations must be well thought out before allowing dockless vehicles into the City. One respondent highlighted potential conflicts in crosswalks between scooters and pedestrians.

Others are happy the City is taking a proactive approach by learning from other municipalities before deploying dockless vehicles and state this provides an opportunity to create more visible guidelines. Multiple stated the City needs more adequate bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure to encourage alternatives to vehicles and are concerned our sub-par bicycle facilities are not being prioritized.

Verbatim Comments Received

<b>Q8 (Please share any other ideas or concerns)</b>
Great for big events when parking maybe an issue like Festival Italiano
Only issue is where they will be dropped off when done using them. there should be certain spots that they have to be dropped off at, even if that means the user has to walk a short distance after their ride but near their destination.
These scooters a menace in downtown Denver and I'd like to see them contained there!
This is an excellent idea! Let's do it!
Keep these in Denver, not Lakewood.
The scooters that Denver has set up are so annoying, I don't see the need for them in Lakewood (or Denver honestly). If you can walk, why wouldn't you just walk? You can't get exercise on a scooter. The scooters are really frustrating to pedestrians who are supposed to be on the sidewalk and the scooters are not. Also, the scooters impede the bike lanes as well for avid cyclers who go much faster than silly scooters. Please don't add these to Lakewood. I also think they look very trashy just left in front of random places and in front of people's houses. I would be so mad if someone left one in front of my house.
Thank you for bringing this topic up for discussion.
This is terrible policy. Just terrible!
I've experienced first hand the quirks of the e-bike. Broken wrist, surgery followed. Regular bikes OK. Scooters are simply dangerous on public streets. I vehemently oppose. Thanks
This might work around Belmar and the Fed Center, but otherwise I think Lakewood is too dispersed to make this workable.
I d like to see provisions in green Mtn. Especiesally When the RTD stops circulation (late nite,weekends)
Would be good in certain areas like Belmar.
The question should address more about maintaining them and avoiding them becoming trash on the street.
I feel comfortable with these vehicles as long as everyone gives pedestrians the right of way. I am old and don't want any broken bones. I will not use them, but I would if I were younger and less brittle. It's a great idea.
This is shift in thinking for transportation. I think it is a great idea, but I'm of a younger generation. I think Lakewood is not a 'young' as Denver or other more popular areas (wheat ridge/Arvada) and many of the older residents would resist the idea of these types of transportation.
E-scooters seem to have a lot of potential but not the trans infrastructure to support. Would be great to have dockless bikes around Belmar. I work in that area and could see using one regularly.
I like it! Bring more transportation options to Lakewood!
Perhaps at the Bus hubs at the federal center makes sense but this is not something I feel is conducive to our city . Leave these options to the downtown area and have them figure out the logistics first.

I am not concerned about bikes, but since I work downtown Denver and see near-miss incidents everyday with scooters I am highly concerned about having them on the streets, bike lanes, or sidewalks. Simply put, there is no appropriate place for them. In theory, I love them. However, in actuality they are too fast and quiet to coexist on the sidewalks, too slow for the bike lane, and completely inappropriate for a regular street. Though I haven't seen anyone hit something or someone yet in downtown Denver, the people on the scooters seem to have decided that the safest place for them is on the congested sidewalks (granted I understand why since the other options are too dangerous for them), but that just makes the sidewalks dangerous for the walkers. The scooters are silent and the riders come racing up on the side of walkers who are unaware that they are approaching and turn right into them. Also the scooter riders try to weave in and out of groups of walkers and frequently have to slam on the brakes or the walkers have to abruptly stop to avoid accidents. It's not a good situation.

My concerns are with the older generations and those that are 'opposed'.

I just got done living in downtown Denver for two years (no car) and these dockless mobility were amazing. Helped me out on MULTIPLE occasions where a \$2 ride would have costed me \$7 in an uber. A great way for me to get around.

As long as you have great rules, the users have a knowledge of where to return them, etc. I don't think it's a problem. When Denver rolled them out, not every one was unsure of the rules resulting in all this nasty backlash. Lakewood is filled with the older generation, there will be backlash and they'll blame it on the millennials haha. Except, it won't be our fault.

Would love to see them parked at libraries, light rail stations, grocery stores, strip malls such as Applewood, Belmar and parks such as Crown Hill. Would love to use and leave my car at home.

I believe the ultimate answer is going to be all motorized or electric assist "toys" vehicles mobility devices must ONLY be

- 1) educated Written test
- 2) licensed operator 3) with insurance

There's no way around this in a City. On a campus completely different story. This is a canna worms, and I absolutely support it as well .

As someone who works in downtown Denver, I have mixed emotions about these scooters/bikes. If there were some laws that people abided by (like stay off sidewalks, slow down, be cautious of pedestrians and vehicles, etc.), then maybe they'd be a great idea. But I work in a building on Colfax, and people who use these zoom past too quickly on the sidewalks, dangerously zip in-and-out of downtown traffic, and leave them anywhere they want to. I think this concept has caused more frustration than blessing.

Lakewood is incredibly car dependent and making a push for alternative means a transportation is vital for our community.

There's challenges to work out but new innovations always have somewhat rocky roads. Let's do this and make Lakewood a great place to live.

We need more multi-modal lanes and paths and existing and existing bans against motorized vehicles in such lanes and pathways needs to be removed and replaced w/ speed limits and other rules of the road -- if they haven't been already.

When we are staring in the face of catastrophic climate chaos, we MUST do everything possible to reduce carbon emissions. Given the consequences of global warming, we cannot afford to let aesthetics and minor nuisances like having bikes left around places keep us from maximizing progress and reducing traffic. Will some users be reckless and will people get hurt? No doubt. But more are reckless and hurt in and by cars every day yet cars are allowed.

I hope the city can come up with something like this that will be used by its residents. Thanks for exploring!

I currently work downtown where the scooters are currently allowed on the sidewalks. I find them and their drivers to be an extreme nuisance. Scooters are generally going too fast through crowds, cutting in front of traffic, people just being plain stupid. On several occasions I have see two people on these scooters and they can barely control the device. I have also witnessed to accidents with one of them resulting in personal injury to the scooter user. I saw a scooter miss the cutout in the street when crossing the street and going backup onto the sidewalk. They hit the curb, went over the handle and did a nice face plant into the sidewalk. She broke her fall by putting her hands out but ripped her hands up pretty good and smoked her head on the sidewalk.

The main advantage I see in these is that their use I presume (haven't seen studies yet) will reduce auto use and hence air pollution, carbon footprint--an aid in the fight against climate change.

I could see this being a benefit to someone who lives in a different city and works in Lakewood or the other way around. They could use the service to and from the light rail station. But for me, my 8 mile drive to work would take one hour transferring buses. So something like this might be a good idea. I would not however, be comfortable riding on the on the street Kipling which is the most logical way for me to get to work. But, Traffic, pollution is becoming worse and worse we need to do something.

Love it! Any new idea that let's people move freely and reduce carbon emissions is great in my opinion. I understand most will oppose such drastic changes but this is the wave of the future.

Large distances between home and shopping/ transit/ work prevent me from using community based dockless mobility as an option. I could see me owning my own scooter, if I could securely leave it at a transit stop or the grocery store. It would have to be based at my house to be most convenient.

My preference would be for electric bikes & scooters vs. non electric.

However, I don't think electric assist vehicles of any kind should be used on unimproved paths (dirt trails, crusher fine trails) or paths that are primarily for outdoor recreation: Kendrick lake walking path, bear creek walking trail

I would see these as more "transit related" (substitute for short driving trips) than recreational.

Trails and sidewalks already are unsafe with dogs on long leashes that are stretched across the walkway and careless bikers approaching and passing from behind without warning. I can't imagine adding one more quick-moving mobility device. Do people carry a helmet with them, or will they ride without? If they use it to go home, they might as well own it.

<p>My concern is for pedestrians on side walks people will ride these here regardless just like bicycles. Also these on mainstreets in traffic is terrible. They should be allowed in bike lanes.</p>
<p>When I first saw a similar program in Aurora I thought it was a GREAT idea! We come from a family that loves to ride our bikes. However, the 'abandoned' bikes randomly left places is very distracting to drivers and honestly an eye sore. I think this could be a great program if it had lots of docking stations. Thank you for letting people have their input.</p>
<p>Limit the amount of any dockless device.</p>
<p>This seems like a fantastic idea! We need more alternative forms of transportation, and this seems like a well thought out plan to reduce vehicle transportation in Lakewood.</p>
<p>Lakewood is too spread out to make this a viable option.</p>
<p>There is no consideration for the handicapped in wheelchairs. Scooters and bikes left blocking sidewalks is not a good thing</p>
<p>Electric scooters (and to some extent electric bikes) are too dangerous. They are too fast and people are too reckless with them for sidewalks or bike paths. The electric bikes at least have bike paths (kind of). The electric scooters create too much conflict with pedestrians and cyclists. As a result people decide to use them on the street amongst car traffic - they are not fast enough for that and offer zero protection to the rider is hit by a car. People on bikes suffer terrible damage and car accidents but are at least mostly above a bumper when riding. Scooters offer nothing except shattered legs, knees, pelvis, and tbi's since no one will wear a helmet. I see people use them in Denver and it does not work even there where there are larger amounts of pedestrian traffic and users of public transit. Also, the laws aren't caught up to them and we don't have enough police to deal with enforcement. It will be a gigantic mess if it's not completely thought out.</p>
<p>I work in Denver and the scooters are an eyesore, especially when they are just left on a sidewalk. Unless the city has a means to enforce the speed of scooters, illegally parked scooters and address safety issues, they should not be allowed.</p>
<p>This is Not Denver I don't think you should have them in Lakewood</p>
<p>Please don't do this! In other cities they are abandoned by their owners and left everywhere to become trash.</p>
<p>Cities need to consider safety issues surrounding scooters in the ROW.</p>
<p>The only places I see possible benefits would be at the light rail stations closest to a shopping area or schools. For example, at Wadsworth light rail station connecting to the Belmar area. Or, Red Rocks station connecting to Red Rocks College. I would consider renting an electrical assist bike for occasional bike path riding.</p>
<p>I like the idea of these in places that are less spread out. Not sure it's A good fit for Lakewood.</p>
<p>One person has already died in Denver because of these scooters - we don't need them!</p>
<p>I believe dockless mobility would be a fun and unique addition to Lakewood's growing community. Lakewood's younger population (teens, young adults) may be more likely to participate than our aging population; however, you never know! Grandma might want to take a cruise through Addenbrooke to the farmers market too!</p>
<p>Dockless mobility vehicles have made a mess of cities like Aurora and Denver. It cheapens the brand of the city. They would be an eyesore to all that live in and visit Lakewood.</p>

<p>Not sure Lakewood is right for these services. We are too spread out. Maybe Colfax, esp. near Denver, maybe Belmar, that's about it.</p>
<p>I think it's marvelous that Lakewood was not an early adopter of dockless scooters and/or bikes. It gives us the opportunity to learn from the mistakes of other municipalities. I work in downtown Denver and it is just scary the way people are riding scooters carelessly and without regard for pedestrians and cars., and then leaving them in the middle of the sidewalk. I am accustomed to being aware for pedestrians and bikes by now. But the scooters are being used and abandoned carelessly.</p>
<p>Our community has enough challenges that aren't being properly dealt with by our city government without adding another one. Please consider the opinions and concerns of residents that have been here and strived to hold Lakewood to higher standards than surrounding areas.</p>
<p>I love the idea and am excited for the possibility of this in Lakewood! My major concern is rider safety when sharing the roads with other cars. Helmets would need to be strongly encouraged, if not mandatory. I think there would need to be designated bike/scooter lanes in order for all riders to be safe and prevent fatalities.</p>
<p>What about liability insurance for the users of dockless vehicles? I realize bicyclists aren't required to provide proof of insurance, but a dockless vehicle can certainly cause life-altering injury to an innocent bystander. I carry a \$1m policy for my horse!</p>
<p>:)</p>
<p>The auto traffic is way too busy to trust riding along on the street- Lakewood City has spoiled Lakewood traffic. We certainly do not need electric scooters - WE DO NOT have to be the same as Denver.</p>
<p>The companies that manage these vehicles are hiring pretty much anyone they can find and then providing very little training. I've seen these kinds of vehicles parked in handicap spots, on the street along the curb (where bikes would be traveling), and other inappropriate places. This all needs to be addressed.</p> <p>People are driving these scooters all over downtown Denver and causing significant traffic problems because people seem to think they don't have to follow traffic laws. The scooters I've seen have no warnings about following traffic laws and it appears that Denver as a city hasn't been putting any limits on these vehicles.</p>
<p>Consult with Golden and Denver ...there have been major abandonment issues</p>
<p>I work in downtown Denver and have seen first-hand the effect of all the scooters in a big city. I think the aesthetics of scooters are a primary concern as sidewalks and businesses look sloppy with scooters parked in seemingly random fashion. I don't think this concept works in a residential setting as there is no place to park them cleanly. In a residential area, I think parks could be used as hubs. Otherwise, we might as well all own our own scooters, right?</p>
<p>This should not be a taxpayer funded service.</p>

<p>The only places something like this would be convenient is apartments that are within 6 blocks of a light rail station, or a concentrated area like Belmar or the Fed Center. Or, possibly for high school students to get to/from school and/or work instead of driving.</p> <p>It makes no sense for typical suburban residents who don't go anywhere near the light rail station and have no reason to use light rail.</p> <p>I shop at SouthWest Plaza area mostly, and purchase 40 pound bags of cat litter, 20 pound bags of bird seed. Will not be trading my car for a bike or scooter.</p> <p>It's not safe to ride a bike or a scooter on most Lakewood streets, and riding on a sidewalk or trail would add to the already over-crowded conditions in many areas and thus not be safe.</p>
<p>what about insurance? i think riders should be insured; how would this be handled?</p>
<p>I love the idea of electric scooters, but I'm not sure I'd use them en lieu of my car or personal bike. If I lived in downtown Denver, yes, absolutely, but there's really no where in Lakewood I'd bother going to. I live in Belmar, where I can walk, or else I drive to Denver.</p>
<p>I regularly use lime Scooters in Denver. I am a 55 year old man</p>
<p>My only concern is with the scooter operators acting as pedestrians in intersections when they move much faster than pedestrians. As a delivery driver in Denver I've seen the dangers of scooters speeding through an intersection where the car driver doesn't see them</p>
<p>Safety of them being ridden everywhere. Them being abandoned or vandalized and it being a waste of money. How will they be paid for?</p>
<p>Great way to encourage less driving and drive more local business with ease of transit. Only concern is what happens with most car and bike shares - people take them home, park in garages, etc, to prevent others from taking. Need share company that takes serious measures against and to prevent this (ie. cancelling membership of first time offenders).</p>
<p>Any negative comments concern Scooters, not bikes or e-bikes.</p>
<p>Having just been in SoCal and used scooters I can see the upside and downside. Bikes are discarded by the homeless and the locals HATE the bikes. They have become an eye sore when not properly maintained. Locals can sign up to take scooters home for charging but it is a hit and miss proposition. Given our climate would you only use in the summer months? It was DANGEROUS in public areas. The scooters can get up to around 17/18 miles per hour and on heavily used areas could be hazardous. Not sure I want my tax dollars going to something that can be difficult and expensive to maintain.</p>
<p>There are tons of scooters in Denver. The biggest problems I see are that no one seems to follow laws... Some people ride in the street. Some on the sidewalk. On the sidewalk, they're often hampered by foot traffic and often less than contentious about foot travelers... Particularly children.</p>
<p>I live in Lakewood but go to school at Auraria where dockless scooters are everywhere. They are a problem, not a solution to anything. The issue is DOCKLESS. They are left in stairwells, mobility ramps, crosswalks, in front of RTD kiosks, etc. Mostly they are left such as to hinder MOBILITY IMPAIRED people. I'm all for rent-able transportation, but they need to have a DOCK. A designated place to be parked. I'm firmly against anything "DOCKLESS". I have to park MY car and MY bike in a designated place, so should everyone else!</p>
<p>Worried about collisions with pedestrians if the sidewalk is shared with electric scooters. Also concerned about possible lack of helmets. I feel helmets should be required, especially when riding on streets with or without dedicated bike lanes.</p>

fast scooters or ebikes on the sidewalks scarring regular pedestrians. There is already some tension between regular bikes and walkers on recreation paths, and faster bikes/scooter could make this worse. A major problem is walkers who wear headphones and don't here bikes coming up behind them, despite calls from the cyclist. Ebikes could make this issue worse as they are fairly quite and could inflame tensions between walkers and bikes.

I've seen these around Denver and people go fairly fast, are riding on sidewalks (with the scooters), and it's scary for folks like me with little children on the sidewalk who could get easily hit. Bikes are not an issue as folks generally ride them in the street and are paying attention. But the scooters - please don't let these lay around in Lakewood.

Before anyone should have dockless transportation, attitudes, rules and laws need to change. The motorist is always expected to be the “responsible party”. And then after that it is the pedestrian that has to stay out of the way. Staring at a phone while crossing the street, riding anything with earphones, and having an attitude of entitlement is the biggest issue. Also rules about where to drive, ride, walk have to be established. Also the minimum speed limit on the roads for riders.

I think it would be great!! I gave kids so it would tough to use one, but if I was single, I definitely would.

Currently, Lakewood does need a solution to get residents to the transit lines since we do have lots of open space and we are not a metropolitan city, both these limit residents to single paths or options to take transit. I would hope that as the city develops its transit that the dockless mobility or dock mobility is re-evaluated. Is the dock mobility being looked at as well at the city level?

I believe the dockless vehicles could become a major problem in residential areas where there are no convenient locations to leave them. People may well just leave a vehicle in front of homes, private drives or even bushes where they hope to find them when they are ready to use them to go to some other location. I firmly believe the scooters would be the biggest problem because people may think "they're small" they won't be in the way.

Further, I believe there should be designated locations for parking them, or they should be required to park in the same parking areas where other vehicles park. Perhaps companies desiring to provide these services could be charge a fee to fun Lakewood's cost of selecting, marking and monitoring specified locations, ,especially in congested areas. I realize part of the sales pitch is that they can be picked up and dropped off anywhere, but utilizing convenient collector locations would actually make both easier. For residential areas, the user should be required to abandon the vehicle on the property of their destination. If they are going to the home, apartment or whatever residence they are visiting. At restaurants, there should be a designation place for them to be left.

More options like these are welcome

Working in downtown Denver, I have seen teens and adults using dockless scooters. They both are a serious hazard to pedestrians and drivers. Lakewood's lower density would make them less of a problem on sidewalks in neighborhoods and some commercial area - except around Belmar, where the streets are too narrow and sidewalks get congested.

I could see using an an electric bike for transit since bus transfer times make RTD unworkable for my commute and walking times to connecting routes are too long. An electric bike would give me a viable option to speed up without having to store a bike on the bus or at work. And the electric assist cuts down on getting sweaty before the workday begins.

<p>Bikes - regular or electric - are fine. Scooters I see as a hazard to pedestrians alone and walking dogs. Also perhaps to cyclists, if scooters are in bike lanes. However, I think scooters are better in bike lanes than on sidewalks. Abandonment of scooters in public places also a problem. I've already seen one dumped in Addenbrooke Park.</p>
<p>I commute from Lakewood to Downtown Denver and work downtown. Scooters and bikes everywhere and blocking the sidewalks frequently.</p> <p>I use a mobility scooter for medical reasons to get around on longer trips that I cannot walk to and find that the bikes and scooters are blocking the sidewalks and entrances to buildings fairly frequently.</p>
<p>I wouldn't use the dockless mobility as I ride my own bicycle around Lakewood.</p>
<p>I am a bike rider, and when I see them dumped in the middle of bike paths and trails, its frustrating. Requiring them to have some sort of notice to say " Be respectful, and park these in places off the beaten path" I understand they need to be in high populous areas, but having this notice might have folks use some sense when leaving them after their use.</p>
<p>We already have significant trail user conflicts on shared use paths. This needs to be considered as it could truly increase this.</p>
<p>It will slow down the speed, increasing safety and great for environment and health of people in general.</p>
<p>I'm glad Lakewood is devoting attention to this. Mostly we need maximal attention to, planning for and investment in safe, street separated infrastructure for bicycling, walking, and other clean forms of transportation.</p>
<p>The two biggest problems here are safety for the rider and accessibility points. First, bike lanes are haphazard at best (bike lanes that are also parking spots are less than worthless, and bike lanes appear and disappear seemingly at random due to poor planning and current construction) and the street traffic is fast enough that these vehicles aren't safe on most streets. Second, these won't be that useful if they're not available in neighborhoods where people are and they more often show up in higher density locations, so good luck finding one in each and every low density block when you might need one. Property owners very well might not like them ending up on their property, either, and may not have a good way to move them somewhere else.</p>
<p>I'm not interested in having rental scooters in Lakewood. They are a nuisance.</p>
<p>lakewood doesn't really have a downtown or center so not sure what the point of this is, it's just more and more home and apartments. not a lot of business other than the fed center.</p>
<p>These all use forms of energy but not the toil of the fat humans. I'm in Capital Hill every weekend and the electric "lime" scooters are a danger in car traffic and on sidewalks, the same goes in the parks - Cheeseman. They are also a noise contributor and get dumped all over the place. One more major irritant. People do not follow rules.</p>
<p>I've done this on Denver with my older kids and had a blast! I hope this goes through!!</p>
<p>Please bring these to Lakewood!!</p>
<p>Wide walkways are fine but not narrow sidewalks. It is all about relative speeds. Scooters on side walks would have to go ped speed otherwise have them in bike lanes.</p>

I live in Denver and I HATE the electric scooters. Some riders are extremely inconsiderate, they clutter the sidewalks when in use and not in use. They are unreliable. There is no system for monitoring their misuse. There is minimal consequences for misuse. For the average person on a bike or walking they cause another obstacle to avoid.

I think the riding right of way designation that the motorized mobility vehicles should be allowed to operate on ought to depend on a combination of its top speed and stability at low speeds. Regulating them for multiple right of ways; as either on the roads with speed limits <40mph, marked bike lanes, or on sidewalks if no bike lanes exist, or sidewalk if available only, or street only. As transportation options they should not be rode on recreational trails or in parks.

There are enough hazards in our streets and sidewalks. With the amount of traffic and obstacles we currently have, this would be a terrible idea. I witness people on regular bikes not obey traffic laws, and I also see people who are nearly get hit by people in too much of a hurry to get around the car in front of them. Please reconsider. I'm frustrated enough with the inconsiderate kids and adults in the Lakewood area, please don't add to the problem by adding more hazards.

IN AURORA I OFTEN SEE THESE BIKES AND SCOOTERS LAYING AT CROSSWALKS. SOME HAVE KIDS THAT HAVE TO GO AROUND THEM IN TO THE STREET AND OTHERS BLOCK PEOPLE IN WHEELCHAIRS FROM USING THE SAFTY RAMPS PROVIDED. DOWNTOWN THE SCOOTERS ARE EVERYWHERE AND ARE SIMPLY A DANGER TO THE NORMAL PERSON WALKING. I HAVE NEARLY TRIPPED OVER THEM COMING OUT OF STORES AND RESTUANTS. THIS ALSO PROMOTES WORSE HEALTH IN OUR PEOPLE. WALKING AND MANUAL BIKE RIDING IS GREAT BUT I DONT THINK WE NEED SCOOTERS WITH MOTERS EVERY FEW BLOCKS TRASHING UP OUR SIDEWALKS AND STREETS. I WOULD APPROVE OF MANUAL POWERED BIKES AT BIKE STORAGE AREAS NEAR SHOPS OR IN PARKS OR NEXT TO BUS STOPS LIKE ALL PERSONALLY OWNED BIKES ARE REQUIRED TO BE; BUT NOT TO JUST LEAVE THEM IN THE MIDDLE OF THE SIDEWALK OR ROAD. MY DAILY WALK WITH MY DOG SHOULD NOT BE DETOURED BY SOME MILLIONARES GET RICH QUICK IDEA WHO DOESNT EVEN LIVE IN THE AREA.

They are a great idea and awesome addition to freedom of mobility. Also it is a very green alternative to using cars

I know people in Denver who say they are a disaster.

Other benefits:

- Reduced traffic congestion;
- Improved air quality;
- Adding a long-overdue element of FUN to getting across town!

PLEASE BRING AT LEAST ONE OF THESE OPTIONS TO LAKEWOOD!

Let me know if I can help any further -> 303.762.8547 / phil.vonhake@gmail.com

I'm worried about safety of scooter users, especially because they won't have helmets. Will they be clear on scooter-specific traffic rules? Will drivers see people on scooters around tree-filled corners, especially at night? Lakewood is also hilly - how practical is it to scoot your groceries home up a big hill? Will this drain the battery? Honestly, I don't see scooters as practical for Lakewood - they better suit flat/walkable cities. I do appreciate that people who cannot afford cars need alternatives to the bus, but I don't think that this is the best one. Bikes would probably be better (traffic rules are more clear, people may have their own helmets, cars are accustomed to looking out for them, it's easier to carry groceries in them, etc.)

Concerned about liability for injuries occurring while on scooter or being hit by one.
They are a nuisance. Downtown Denver is littered with the scooters, they violate traffic laws, infringe on designated bike paths and are dropped all over the place. Please don't approve this. They endanger pedestrians as well. In Lakewood, we can ride our own bikes or at least consider docked bikes. The dockless modes of transportation are just bad.
The greater the availability of the scooters, the more I would use them. User conflict is always an issue, and this is a new use. It will be important to monitor the situation and address conflicts as they arise. Having said this, I support getting people out of cars. Thanks for looking at this issue.
I look forward to this new option for transportation in Lakewood
I can't wait for someone to start suing because these devices are blocking the way to pedestrians. People will fall and get hurt. Every time I see one in the middle of a pedestrian path I wonder who in their right mind decided to leave it right there? Aren't they considerate of other people? I really do not like them (scooters), although I have been tempted to ride one just for the novelty, but it is where they are parked/abandoned that bothers me the most. Think about this: if someone owns a private scooter, wouldn't they park it in their own home? Away from danger? Well, a rental scooter should be the same. Away from danger.
I would worry about people using the scooters to commit crime and then quickly fleeing on them. That would prove to be difficult for police. Also, there is concern about theft and people operating them while impaired. It could also lead to more collisions involving. Depending on the rider they could collide with pedestrians or vehicles. If a scooter pulled out in front of a vehicle that could easily lead to a fatal collision.
These are an obnoxious nuisance. I work downtown and have to dodge them daily. They aren't necessary.
It is hard to walk in Lakewood with out dodging a car. A scooter is harder to control and you will have a lot of accident. We can't even get people not to dump grocery carts in the street and parks no way would this work out. There will be issues with where to ride, too. People are still unsure of their bikes. They ride on sidewalks NEXT to the bike trails. Don't do it! Really bad idea.
While I appreciate the City's efforts to collect information on alternate modes of transportation I would much rather see the City focus its energy and resources on improving the inadequate/intermittent bike lane/separated bike path network. Sometimes I wonder if City planners and engineers have actually bike commuted in Lakewood? I commute to work often and our bike path/bike lane network is not inviting (and downright dangerous e.g. Garrison) unless you are a dedicated bike commuter. You will never convince drivers to look at alternatives when the infrastructure is sub par.
If I had wanted to live in a congested, dirty, sloppy city, I would have bought a home in Denver. I chose Lakewood, and do NOT want to see Lakewood "following" in Denver's steps.
No cap on scooters without placing a cap on Uber and Lyft please!
Benefit: fewer vehicle trips!
Lakewood is too dispersed to make use of scooters outside of Belmar and the Federal Center. It is bad enough for bicycles and you are going to put the scooters in the bike lanes, so we will have increased user conflict due to different speeds. They are a complete hazard on the sidewalks, in the few places that have them. If scooters are intended for the "last mile," why not encourage people to walk instead? That's the truly green solution.

<p>Who would be responsible for picking up these items after one-way trips? Are you going to have trucks driving around neighborhoods getting these things? Dockless bicycles seem to fit in Lakewood better than scooters. Scooters to me would be best on sidewalks, but Lakewood does not have the best sidewalk system. Scooters sharing bike lanes or in the street doesn't seem very safe, they are not as visible as bikes.</p>
<p>More green paint on the streets will help them work better.</p>
<p>as a pedestrian the scooters are very obnoxious because they are fast. scooter riders often don't yell "on your left" etc. . like most bikers on sidewalks do. there needs to be more visible public safety guidelines for guest riders that is easily visible in public spaces around these rentals.</p>
<p>I think we benefit from exploring ways to decrease our reliance on the automobile as the only mode of transportation for environmental reasons and to reduce traffic congestion.</p>
<p>Don't think it's a good idea for our community</p>
<p>If we just have to have something dockless or the sky will fall then let's do bikes they are at least at decent form of transportation. Scooters are just a rent a toy. If you actually read stories and opinions from cities that have them most people hate the scooters . They are just mobile trash the teenagers play with and destroy. Don't litter our city with these unneeded toys! I think it would be better to just install more and better bike racks around town, we have plenty of bike riders but few places to safely store them when out and about. Mobile trash is not the answer to the problem.</p>
<p>1) The potential 'benefit' is really only higher income for the companies renting out this equipment...and selling the customer data information(the real money maker). 2) The greatest potential 'challenge' of this rental operation is the very real danger to the people riding them and pedestrians and motorists sharing the roads &amp; pathways with the riders. Check out this article to get the idea. <a href="https://www.claimsjournal.com/news/national/2018/10/30/287592.htm">https://www.claimsjournal.com/news/national/2018/10/30/287592.htm</a></p>
<p>Keep them off sidewalks.</p>
<p>These types of transport can also provide exercise which has a plethora of ancillary benefits for citizens. I think it is a great idea to keep considering green alternative transportation options.</p>
<p>Those things are a hazard. The small wheels on the scooters are particularly bad. If they hit an object more than half of their diameter in size, the scooter will flip. I had a friend who was riding a go-ped, (similar device), waving at his kids when he hit a small chuck hole. The resultant crash cost him 50 IQ points and his sense of smell and taste.</p>
<p>We do not need to cater to a trendy vendor. It is not in the public interest for the most part. Walking, bicycling, RTD, and autos are already in place, and are sufficient. Conflicts with pedestrians and cyclists will inevitably arise, with violent consequences from time to time. It will constitute a liability.</p>

Anything you offer either needs to be so slow it's safe to use on sidewalks (for pedestrian safety), or fast enough to use on bike lanes (for cyclist/motorist safety).

If these things are perceived to be in the way by anyone, it's going to contribute to aggressive behavior.

Human-powered bikes are likely to have the best reception, but being dock-less means you guys have a serious responsibility to create measures that will incentivize people not to leave any bikes/scooters laying around where they are not wanted, or where they become potential hazards.

I think to truly address people's concerns, you would need to have a dedicated team of people to patrol certain areas, retrieving any vehicles left in hazardous areas, or taking up space used by motorists and other cyclists.

Having a human presence ensuring people that these modes of transport aren't going to litter sidewalks and parking lots would go a long way to your public perception.

To help limit how many people you would need to do that, you should also make the initial rental fee include an up-front deposit that is only refunded when the vehicle is either rented again, or one of your employees marks that the vehicle was parked correctly. You need an accountability mechanism up front so people know what's expected the second they make a rental.

The other thing that could help is if you work with major shopping/office centers and have them reserve specific spaces where your products are welcomed to be parked.

I have major concerns about where any of these modes would be used. Sidewalks and trails are already packed and already see confrontations between pedestrians and bicyclists regarding speed, passing, right of way, and just too many people. No one knows the etiquette or the actual rules. Add to that scooters--are they meant for the road? Are they meant for sidewalks? Adding a method that is not human powered to the sidewalks seems like a recipe for disaster and injury for all--peds, scooterists, bicyclists. Also, this seems like a nice excuse for our city to avoid thinking about improving ridership (and options) on existing transportation, like buses and light rail. The "last mile" is not as hard to figure out as that long commute. I can walk a mile if I need to. But I can't go 20 miles across town because buses are not frequent (it would take me 2 hours each way) and light rail is so cost prohibitive. Both methods don't seem to take into account those who can't afford them but could benefit the most from them. Now we just want to add more noise, more chaos--and without any rules for us to all be on the same page.

Rather than focus on this, I'd rather see a focus on wider sidewalks around town first. Walking is free, works well in any weather, and is currently used by many around town. Unfortunately, we have a large shortage of sidewalks -- especially one wider than 2' -- around town. On busier streets, where people are VERY often driving 5-10 MPH over speed limits, this is critical for public safety.

The city has failed to provide nec. infra-structure improvements required due to continued development, crowding our streets, trails and sidewalks with usage. This supposed "proposal" will simply exacerbate this issue. How about not getting the horse before the cart for once, and have adequate infra-structure in place BEFORE considering these one person electric forms of transport!

I think they're a waste of money, but I haven't seen the business plan.
I like the idea of dockless bikes or scooters because it may mean that some people would not use their cars.
Why can't people buy their own stuff?! I have been downtown and seen these laying EVERYWHERE! In reality, how many people are going to be using these things? Our city and state are NOT conducive for these kinds of things. People are already rude this is gonna make it worse.
Although I love the idea, I really don't think adding scooters to sidewalks are a good idea. I also cringe thinking about electric bikes on our already crowded rec. trails, but am less concerned about that.
We are not DENVER
We are not DENVER
On a recent trip to Paris France we used these a lot, many businesses wanted Electric Scooters and Pedal Bicycles near their store fronts, many folks searched and wanted to use Electric Scooters. So, it was a natural draw to these stores and locations. Many stores participated in charging these and made a small monetary incentive by charging these scooters. Pedal bikes we're more common versus pedal assist. I could see these bikes and scooter being used from Wadsworth Light Rail to Belmar. Awesome use. I'd be excited to have these around. I live and work in Lakewood and would use these very frequently.
We were in Europe this past summer and saw these all over - adults going shopping or to restaurants, business people getting to and from appointments, all over. Yes there are some challenges, crowded sidewalks are not a good place for the scooters, however, most of Lakewood's sidewalks are empty! I live near 6th and Wadsworth and my office is near Belmar at Alameda and Wadsworth, I would absolutely use a scooter as an alternative to driving the mile to the office. They're not good in area meant for walking, such as Belmar, but from home to Belmar and back - absolutely! As our roads get busier, people are going to have to start embracing alternative forms of transportation. My \$.02 :)
I think it is a great idea. I probably wouldn't use them because I am older but I think the younger people would love it. When I was in France recently and scooters are used regularly.
We like to take light rail to the airport, but the trips are often months long and it can be a problem to get dropped off at a light rail station on our schedule. I can visualize driving our bags to the station, renting a scooter, throwing it in the trunk, driving back home, and then riding the scooter back to the station and dropping it there. The reverse on return. Ride the scooter back home to get the car to come pick up the bags.
Yay Lakewood! Thank you for considering this progressive and GREEN mode of transportation. It's time that our kids saw the fun alternatives to polluting the air with expensive cars. :)
PLEASE Don't make the Same Mistake Denver Made There is NO NEED for these Here! STOP IT NOW!!!
I have safety concerns. After living in Colorado for over 20 years, I observe bicycle violations on an almost daily basis, yet witnessed only one bicyclist receiving a citation. Adding scooters and e bikes to the mix without addressing this issue seems foolish. Due to physical limitations, I personally am unable to use alternate modes of transportation.

<p>I think the city of Lakewood is far too spread out to put this to good use. Maybe in Belmar or by the Mills but the auto traffic with these is scary. When would one of these ever come close to my residence when I would want to use it? Close to never is my guess. Please encourage the city to invest my tax dollars in parks/playgrounds/schools.</p>
<p>I am concerned that there would be an increase in auto/bike/scooter related accidents. From what I have seen downtown the users generally have little regard for pedestrians and car. Aside from the scooters and bikes scattered throughout the city out being an eyesore</p>
<p>Hello. In other cities I've seen scooters litter the streets and block critical sidewalks for those in wheelchairs. Please consider this potential barrier when considering this for our community.</p>
<p>Bikes and scooters on sidewalks and trails are a disruption and danger to pedestrians.</p>
<p>Why don't you fix the traffic issues instead of adding to them.</p>
<p>Children's/teens' use of electric vehicles on busy streets; riders' and drivers' awareness of safety concerns and rules associated with vehicles</p>
<p>Please implement this. This reduces a necessity for a car.</p>
<p>Electric scooters in Denver are illegal to ride anywhere which is a ticketable offense and are a waste of money. Having them in Lakewood would be a dumb move. How about making RTD more affordable and have the trains and busses actually work together making ones commute affordable and convenient? Stop paying to have armed guards make sure one pays the outrageous fees on the inconvenient and expensive trains. Electric scooters sound like the dumbest and least cost effective way to offer transportation in Lakewood.</p>
<p>These modes serve a purpose in an urban environment, but will be nothing more than a dangerous hazard on the roads and sidewalks of a suburban/exurban environment such as Lakewood. I wouldn't want to see them in Lakewood's parks, greenbelts, or bikeways, either.</p>
<p>Lakewood is not laid out in a way to even consider these.</p>
<p>I rode an electric scooter for the first time recently and realized that they actually go pretty fast. I don't think they belong on sidewalks or recreational trails. The other issue that has become apparent is that users cannot be trusted to leave them in an appropriate place once they're finished using them. When using sidewalks it's not unusual to find yourself walking around scooters that are blocking foot traffic. This must be very difficult for people in wheel chairs. I would only be comfortable if scooters were banned from sidewalks and the scooter companies were required to perform surveillance and do their best to make sure that their scooters do not impede pedestrians and those with disabilities.</p>
<p>The thought of these being in the city (streets or sidewalks) is a safety concern. With the influx of traffic and people and the fact that this city is sprawling does not make these dockless motorized vehicles a viable mode of transportation that would be safe for anyone.</p> <p>That being said, if these do start to pop up, PLEASE keep these away from trails. Most trails in Colorado do not allow for motorized vehicles - they are hiking/horse/mechanized bikes only and there is already so much conflict between hikers and bikers. Introducing a motorized vehicle near these trails will create even more conflict.</p> <p>Lakewood would be better served to provide better bike lanes in the existing city before spending money on something that our current infrastructure can not safely support.</p>
<p>I work downtown, and the scooters are just one more hazard to try to avoid.</p>

Also, winter. When you're riding it on the sidewalk after a snow, slip on the ice and injure yourself, a pedestrian or the scooter- who do you sue? The city, for letting you ride it on the sidewalk; the property owner who didn't clear their sidewalk; the scooter company for providing the scooter? Or the federal government because you don't have the health care coverage to deal with this kind of situation and that's why you're suing people?

Safety for pedestrians and other motorists. Plus, where are the helmets? These scooters go so fast and I see people on them speeding around other people and not following the rules for pedestrians, or bikes, or cars. Plus I don't want them littering our city.

No thanks. Can we please address the inherent conflict in having motorized scooters and bikes and non motorized and pedestrians in the same areas or in bike lanes?

I deal with these in Denver near the Capitol building, they are a terrible nuisance both to commuters and pedestrians. Please do not allow them to flood our city.

Please do not allow scooters on sidewalks! There have been numerous reports of injuries caused to other pedestrians by scooters in other places that have adopted them.

These are a terrible idea. A true hazard to all. What about our disabled and their use of the sidewalks - shouldn't they be protected and they shouldn't have to navigate around abandoned scooters or share the sidewalk with fast and unstable devices. These are toys without any concern for safety. Is the city liable for any injuries to pedestrians as a result of a city policy allowing these dangerous devices?

Need widely disseminated information on proper use of the scooters such as obeying all traffic rules (with a ticket penalty) and not allow them in streets.

My concern is that the scooters produce reckless behavior and get left in the middle of sidewalks or in someone's bushes—I work in RiNo and this is what happens. Plus no one wears a helmet with those.

I DO NOT want these eye sores in my community.

It seems the dockless scooters are a good idea. Sadly, you have way too many people riding them on the sidewalks and it is the pedestrians that are forced into the road. Plus, Lakewood is not a centralized area like downtown. Makes no sense to have dockless scooters.

With all of the residential and commercial growth in Lakewood, everything is on the rise- crime, population, traffic congestion, etc., this is just another negative thing to worry about. I work in Downtown Denver and the scooter riders don't pay attention to traffic, they almost run over pedestrians, and not to mention the class action lawsuits out of California about these scooters (riders and pedestrians are suing because of frequent collisions). It is a bad and unwelcome idea. Please do not approve this for the city of Lakewood.

I was recently in San Antonio and the scooters were everywhere. They're left in the middle of sidewalks and clog up the walkways. People ride them all over the sidewalks and streets and I saw a few accidents just in the short time I was out. I strongly oppose bringing these to Lakewood.

Nothing but problems. These things litter the streets in Denver. Keep them there.

I don't think this is necessary in Lakewood. This isn't Denver proper.

I don't think this is necessary in Lakewood. This isn't Denver proper.

Hello! thank you for looking into this! The primary issue I see is that we have miles and miles of sidewalks in Lakewood that could be useful for people--but because the municipal code requires people on scooters to use the bike path, there is an issue with safety for any kind of small scooter or bicycle riding right up against cars. We would love to use available scooters at stations, but there needs to be a change to allowing them on the sidewalks--like Boulder and Denver have done. It is just too unsafe to be near the cars, even in biking lanes. And there are just so very FEW people using the sidewalks. It may be different if this were Manhattan, but right now, the City is maintaining miles of sidewalk for a very small handful of people who use them. Regardless, I do see many of the kids riding their scooters and skateboards (electric) on the sidewalks because it is simply not safe to be close to the cars.

We need to make better use of the sidewalks. There can certainly be more rules employed for sidewalk use, but to not make use of them at all, is a waste of funds. Some study may need to be done as to whether there is an issue with cars being able to SEE faster moving devices on the sidewalk right in front of a driveway, for example (I have heard that this is an issue), but then again, even with someone roller skating that would be the case. At some point, people need to always remember it is their responsibility to be careful, courteous, and yielding when they can. It may require a rule to have the scooter YIELD even to cars, as if there is a perception that they have the right of way, there may be many accidents.

At any rate, I'm looking forward to more people getting outdoors and out of the car in a variety of ways with the assistance of the City of Lakewood in changing the rules and allowing more flexibility for everyone.

The Liability to the City for these would be huge. Injuries, damage to city property, The cost to taxpayers would not be good from all the lawsuit happy people.  
Do not do it!!

Bad idea.

Would be concerned about cars and safety.

Electric scooters should not be on recreational trails.

Lakewood is a suburb and not downtown Denver, they aren't needed out here! I can see additional traffic accidents or kids killed in the streets

At a recent visit to the DU area, I noticed many human-powered scooters laying around. It was not enjoyable getting passed at high speed on the sidewalk by one of these.

if you allow people to rent then you are assuming liability when they get in an accident and get hurt whether a minor scrape or serious injury. the dockless scooter... say a person has no idea how to ride one and suppose they decide to try out one to see if they like it and then they get hurt...they are liable to come after the city for medical expenses, no method of ensuring the rider was capable of riding. I can see people looking at "deep pockets" of the city.

Family time,,enjoying the,outdoor.Helping with getting to other bus stations .need by schools for juniors,and seniors,with out cars transportation for them with out cars . The,problem is,not enough of them .Skate boards and other scooter have been around for years new is good .Near,parks,are good you,are getting more people out,of their houses than before. Look at better good that it can do ..

Bikes ride down middle of street, slowing auto travel, very unsafe. Scooters would do the same, in my opinion.

I think this will be GREAT!
Accessible options for those with mobility issues. Ex., 3 wheel bike?
Bear Creek is too narrow and crowded today.
Concern - scooters on bike paths, ie, Bear Creek Trail
Concerns w/ bikes/scooters being dumped on our street. Scooters not getting charged. Riders breaking the rules.
In general I am concerned about any of these modes of transit following the laws of the road. If they are on the road they are a vehicle and should not pass other vehicles on the shoulder. It's a safety issue.
I drive downtown every day for work, and already have to deal with w/ pedestrians and bikers not paying attention or following the rules of the road. To me this is just another mode of transportation to congest the roads and allow people to ignore vehicles.
Dockless mobility is an important step for increasing transportation mobility in Lakewood. I encourage the city to implement a pilot project with all 3 types of dockless transportation. Remember that dockless mobility technology is constantly changing, Lakewood needs to keep up or be left behind.
Having recently visited San Diego, I'm mostly concerned about how the scooters will get ditched everywhere - on sidewalks, in yards, on the street. Also, the scooters especially seem to be a novelty transportation item, mostly for tourists who don't know the area or local laws.
I would LOVE these in Lakewood!
I'm too old to use any option. Do a test run at Belmar/Colorado Mills... It's important to pick up scooters that get in out-of-way places.
We love to use them, my husband and I to ride around bike paths and areas around town that aren't on our regular route.
Comment on parking issues at major hubs - the amount of space to park a dockless vehicle compared to a single occupant vehicle is great. We can find the space to park dockless vehicles. I would like to see more bikes in Lakewood.
Drivers need to be more aware, infrastructure needs to be improved. Additional Benefits: Healthy Lakewood; more visibility for alternative transportation means users; people connections (community creation).
Do not allow in areas like Green Mtn. Bear Creek Lake Park. What happens if people ride to the edge of the park, dump the bike and walk in. Bear Creek is already used to capacity.
How to prevent drop-off blocking sidewalks? Who will pick them up if a call comes in for one in an area not wanted or permitted? Are there speed limitations on the E.S.?
Concerned about collisions with pedestrians/vehicles.